WPSB No. 238 of 2006.

Hon'ble M.M. Ghildiyal, J.
Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J.

Heard Sri M.C. Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned standing Counsel for the State, Sri Alok Singh, learned Sr. Counsel for respondent no. 7 and Sri Subhash Upadhyay, learned counsel for respondent nos. 5 & 6.

By the present petition, the petitioner has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 20th July 2006 (annexure no. 21 to the writ petition) issued by the respondent no. 1.

It appears to us, on the basis of the arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner, that in compliance of the impugned transfer order the petitioner has jointed the place of posting. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, the writ petition has become infructuous.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed being infructuous.

(B.C.K., J.) **(M.M.G., J.)**

13.11.2006:
NCM: